

**Resources and Public Realm Scrutiny Committee**  
**Scrutiny Recommendation Tracker 2019-2020**

Ref	Scrutiny Recommendation (and date)	Decision Maker	Cabinet Decision	Cabinet Decision date	Implemented? Yes/No
03072019 /06/i	<p><b>That data on: police performance; public satisfaction in policing; and confidence in partnerships be included in the next SBP Annual report</b></p> <p>(recommended on 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2019, The Safer Brent Partnership and Annual Report)</p>	Cabinet	{e.g. date of Cabinet meeting that considered the scrutiny recommendation and made the decision}.	{date when the decision is made}	{YES or NO}
03072019 /06/ii	<p><b>That the Council participate fully in the national debate and supports a Nordic legislative model for the sex industry to criminalise purchasers and protect workers by responding to Home Office consultations where possible.</b></p> <p>(recommended on 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2019, The Safer Brent Partnership and Annual Report)</p>	Cabinet			
03072019 /06/iii	<p><b>That the Council undertake a borough-wide review of street furniture and makes every effort to “design out” parts of the street furniture that allows sex work to happen, for example by removing public phone boxes.</b></p>	Cabinet			

	(recommended on 3 <sup>rd</sup> July 2019, The Safer Brent Partnership and Annual Report)				
03072019 /07/i	<p><b>That the Lead Member for Community Safety and Engagement request the Police to review the existing communication arrangements between the Police and elected representatives in order to ensure more effective liaison and sharing of information. That the result of this meeting is fed back to the committee in writing.</b></p> <p>(recommended on 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2019, Police Tri-borough BCU Reconfiguration)</p>				
03072019 /07/ii	<p><b>That the Lead Member for Community Safety and Engagement reviews and shortlists appropriate ward panel models in Brent and sets up a meeting to discuss best model to replicate..</b></p> <p>(recommended on 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2019, Police Tri-borough BCU Reconfiguration)</p>				

### Notes

This is a table to track the progress of scrutiny recommendation made by one of the formal scrutiny committees at Brent Council. It is intended to form part of a standing item on the Committee's agendas so that the Committee can keep track of the recommendations nit has made, the decisions made, implementation and provoke consideration of outcomes that have for residents, the Council and its statutory partners.

The tracker lists the recommendations made by the committee throughout a municipal year and any recommendations still not fully implemented from previous years, in reverse chronological order (the most recent recommendations first).

The tracker documents the scrutiny recommendations made, the dates when they were made, the decision maker who can make each decision in respect of the recommendations, the date the decision was made and the actual decision taken. The executive decision taken may be the same as the scrutiny recommendation (e.g. the recommendation was “agreed”) or it may be a different decision, which should be clarified here. The tracker also asks if the respective executive decisions have been implemented and this should be updated accordingly throughout the year.

Scrutiny Task Group report recommendations should be included here but referenced collectively (e.g. the name of the scrutiny inquiry and date of the agreement of the scrutiny report and recommendations by the scrutiny committee, along with the respective dates when the decision maker(s) considered and responded to the report and recommendations. The Committee should generally review the implementation of scrutiny task group report recommendations separately with stand-alone agenda items at relevant junctures – e.g. the Executive Response to a scrutiny report and after six months or a year, or upon expected implementation of the agreed recommendation of report. The “Expected Implementation Date” should provide an indication of a suitable time for review.